

Media Bamboozle

The bamboozle began within hours of the attacks, before anyone could think straight. Without presenting a shred of evidence, the American news media, led by Rupert Murdoch's News Corp., began injecting the 9/11 virus: corrupted information that this was the work of Osama bin Laden and a frightening "network" of terror.

The quick spread of this virus was understandable; the terrorists were quickly labeled as such. Nearly every senior member of the Bush Administration** and every major news media outlet. However, no media analysts bothered to address the miraculous leap from previous media attacks. The widespread assumption of al-Qaeda responsibility dovetailed perfectly with new injections of fear; as the administration spread the mysterious Anthrax scare and misinformation about weapons of mass destruction that were supposedly intended for Iraq, the Bush Administration** warned us to brace for further "spectacular" attacks.

Of course America was afraid. If a terrorist organization could truly select the perfect planes for their mission, slip 19 Arabic-speaking suicidal maniacs and two dozen weapons through airport security, murder four flight crews, take and hold hostages at bay while piloting four immensely complicated Boeing jetliners from high altitude to a low-altitude precision air strike after navigating for hundreds of miles straight to the nation's capital and U.S. military headquarters without being shot down by a blanket of military jets, what could they not do? Instead, after we take a collective breath and realize that before or since 9/11 has al-Qaeda ever executed anything more sophisticated than their crude bombings.

The new administration had broken the code.

The code was that money bought the greatest dictator of all, commercial television time. Through a one-way flow of persuasion, strategically scripted and delivered with moving images and dubbed with base drums, to millions of working (taxed) Americans, a message, no matter how false, can be sold.

The endless barrage of television time during the 2004 election made John Kerry, a Vietnam veteran with three Purple Hearts look like a headline grabbing coward while a guy who went AWOL from his Texas Air National Guard unit, a swashbuckling hero, capable of saving the world. [1]

In the entire case of Osama bin Laden, from his formal introduction on September 11, until his media death on May 2, 2011, not one American has heard a single word attributed to this person. Not one solid piece of evidence has ever been presented in a court of law, not one plausible explanation as to how bin Laden was able to defeat all elements

PHILIP MARSHALL

of U.S. national security. Yet, a vast majority of Americans are somehow convinced Osama bin Laden was the evil force behind the 9/11 attacks.

The bamboozle is a concoction of media reported events. We have been told a thousand times that he was “behind” the attacks. We were shown videos of him shooting. We have seen him in a gold robe. We have heard through an Intelligence Community interpretation that he admitted to the attack. We have seen the photos of him walking the mountains. We have been told that al Qaeda has a press secretary who sends out releases. Most American taxpayers know this as common knowledge, as fact.

But when we take a closer look, we see an entirely different picture. The Washington Post reported on May 25, 2010 that a psych-ops group** within the Special Activities Division was caught producing fake bin Laden videos. [1] They also traced the al-Qaeda “releases” to a U.S. intelligence contractor** called SITE**, an interesting liaison between the White House** and Fox News**. [2]

SITE, an acronym of Search for International Terrorist Entities, is actually a Pentagon sub-contractor that seems to be al Qaeda’s press department. When we hear that al Qaeda has released a statement, it’s actually coming through SITE. Who knows where the information? [3]

SITE has released 29 audio messages and three videos, supposedly of Osama bin Laden. The last video in 2007 was so ridiculously obvious, that they just stuck with audios. They could fake his death. The Post article reported that our friends at special activities were making propaganda films, “using one of our ‘darker’ members to play [super-terro] Osama bin Laden.” [3]

The last tape released to the national media was of a man that SITE** claimed was Osama bin Laden. The tape was “frozen” for all but three minutes while an unknown interpreter** told us what he supposedly said. Later, we learned that this SITE** group, by Rita Katz, had sent this tape to several officials in the Executive Branch** before it was released by “al-Qaeda.” Oops. [4]

After a small amount of media speculation directed toward bin Laden’s physical features, which included a dyed black beard, a differently shaped face and smaller hands, all the American networks reported to millions of taxpayers that U.S. Intelligence officials had confirmed that the tape was really Osama bin Laden. [6]

Consider the raid that supposedly killed bin Laden. Americans have not been afforded one piece of evidence that confirmed that he was ever in the raided house or one piece of evidence that he was killed. There was no trial, no jury, no judge, just a claim that originated deep within the Intelligence Community** and reported in a month-long media blitz as fact.

The following day, the national news reported that al Qaeda released a statement vowing revenge for “bin Laden’s” death. The bamboozle is that al Qaeda doesn’t really have a press department.

THE BIG BAMBOOZLE

The residents of the town where the raid transpired never saw bin Laden. The head of al-Qaida, Osama bin Laden, disputed the raid and said that bin Laden had died long ago and was buried in an unmarked grave in the Afghan mountains. [4] An obituary of bin Laden was published in an Pakistani newspaper in December of 2001. [5] But still the Intelligence Community** convinced nearly everyone that they actually killed him in 2011.

Although the Intelligence Community** claimed they had watched the raided house for months, they could not produce one iota of proof; not one surveillance photo, not one voice recording, not a body, nothing. Yet, as in all media reports concerning bin Laden, the claim was reported as fact in all major media stories. It was a coordinated message trumpeted on the front pages of every major American newspaper. Fox News was in a state of euphoria.

President Obama said that he was 50/50 that the intelligence he had received from the Intelligence Community** was accurate. What if the 50/50 evidence, the same chance as a coin toss, was actually tails instead of heads. How would we ever know? My calculation, after ten years of research is that the actual chances of the 9/11 "architect" (bin Laden's final title) being in that house was a solid 0/100. I don't know who they killed, if anyone, but it wasn't the architect of 9/11.